

**Public Comments from January 19, 2016 Public Forum on  
Grant County Proposed Zoning Ordinance Amendments**

**Kenny Wiese - 14567 468 Ave Twin Brooks SD**

- Thank you for the hard work – it's a big project
- Section 1306 – Special Permitted Use
  - Struggle with not having a hearing
  - Especially for hogs and chickens
  - Proposed fix would be to
    - Eliminate it
    - Apply it to Class D & E CAFOS
    - Increase the setback of Class C approved under special permitted use to ¾ mile from residence
  - Is OK with proposed setbacks – Step in the right direction

**Vince Meyer – 15452 486 Ave Milbank SD**

- Asked questions regarding clarification of size of CAFOs and animal unit conversions
- Asked what “Reserved” meant in definition section
- Asked for clarification on Section 291 “Established Well”
- Asked about liability of Zoning Officer and Permitted Special Use Permits
- Agrees with Kenny Wiese regarding Section 1306

**Loretta Polletzki – 48592 156<sup>th</sup> Street Milbank SD**

- Issue with Permitted Special Use Permit
  - Only 1 person in county issuing the permit – Zoning Officer
  - No accountability – not elected official
  - Need to identify the notice timeframe requirements
  - Add newspaper to notice requirements
  - 1,999 animal units of swine is a lot of manure
  -
- Wells
  - Amazed that most wells are not registered
    - How much does it cost to be registered
    - How are you (County) going to put this on the landowner
- Asked for clarification of footage compared to mileage in setback table

**Mark Leddy – 14346 Highway 15 Milbank SD**

- Lot of good work
- Likes the definition of wells
- Likes “vesting”
- Believes 1 mile setback is excessive
  - Need to look at whole story
  - How do we have livestock and residences live in harmony
    - Residential development requirements in ag zone need to be reviewed as well

**Kate Capp** – 48140 144 St Big Stone City SD

- Section 1306
  - Do not apply to Class C – Class is preferred
  - Public Hearing is necessary
- Setbacks
  - Would prefer larger setbacks – but this is a start in the right direction

**Keith Welberg** – 15447 486 Ave Milbank SD

- Section 1304.1 Private Well Distance
  - Would like the setback to be equal to public water supply setback of 1,000 feet

**Roger Loeschke** – 48464 155<sup>th</sup> St Milbank SD

- Proposed Setbacks to be:
  - Class A and B –  $\frac{3}{4}$  Mile
  - Reduce 1 mile setback for CAFO over 7000 to  $\frac{3}{4}$  mile
  - Class C –  $\frac{5}{8}$  mile

**Bill Street** – 15761 477 Ave Milbank SD

- Draft looks good
- Would like to see a rule requiring all lagoons to be covered – preferably manmade (rubber)

**John Loeschke** – 15452 ?? Milbank SD

- Would like to see well definition refined
- Would like to see haul road agreements negotiated prior to permit
- Would like to see a bonding requirement for roads

**Tom Albers**

- Believes  $\frac{3}{4}$  mile should be max setback for Class A

**Richard Hansen**

- Believes  $\frac{3}{4}$  mile should be max setback for Class A

**Mike Mach**

- Could be persuaded to drop permitted special use
- Doesn't know why you wouldn't register your well
- Health issues are a concern but not sure how to incorporate into a review

**Val Cameron**

- Doesn't know why you wouldn't register your well
- Does wonder about a one person approval – but maybe not a big deal

**Nancy Johnson**

- Special Permitted Use – I struggle with it – but I don't think everyone understands it

**Lorelei Brandt**

- Format (numbering) change on page 12
- If public is going to refer all the work only because of Section 1306 – than maybe we should drop it – hate to see all the work lost for that one item

**Dave Krueger**

- Remove Special Permitted Use Language
- Would like to include haul road language in special permitted use section into p 26 #8

**Tom Pillatzki**

- Would like to see  $\frac{3}{4}$  mile setback at Class C and above
- Drop Special Permitted Use Section
- Would like the setback from private well to be equal to public water supply setback of 1,000 feet
- P 21 would like to see 2,500 CAFO threshold reduced to 1,000 Animal Units in order to apply increased setback with Odor Footprint Tool
  - Planning Commission vote 5- 3 in favor of proposal
  - Believes  $\frac{3}{4}$  mile should be max setback for Class A